

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

LUIS ESIQUIO,
Petitioner

v.
WARDEN,
Respondent.

Case No. CV 15-8875-SVW (GJS)

**ORDER ACCEPTING FINDINGS
AND RECOMMENDATIONS OF
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE
JUDGE**


Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636, the Court has reviewed the Petition (“Petition”) and all pleadings, motions, and other documents filed in this action, the Report and Recommendation of United States Magistrate Judge (“Report”), and Petitioner’s “Response” to the Report. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C) and Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b), the Court has conducted a de novo review of those portions of the Report to which objections have been stated. The Court concludes that nothing set forth in the Response affects or alters, or calls into question, the analysis and conclusions set forth in the Report.

Having completed its review, the Court accepts the findings and recommendations set forth in the Report. Accordingly, **IT IS ORDERED** that: (1)

1 the Petition is DENIED; and (2) Judgment shall be entered dismissing this action
2 with prejudice.

3 **LET JUDGMENT BE ENTERED ACCORDINGLY.**

4
5 DATE: March 22, 2018



STEPHEN V. WILSON
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE